## **URSC Review Rubric**

Cotogory					
Category	Exemplary	/ Acceptable	Developir	ng Unacceptable	Total
Background/Rationale/Significance:  □ For research proposals:  ○ Summarizes relevant and current literature  ○ Delineates the significance of the project and the need for further study  ○ Clearly describes how the proposed work may advance disciplines pecific knowledge/practice  □ For arts/humanities proposals:  ○ Summarizes relevant and current work  ○ Delineates how the proposed work aligns with the context of its genreor medium  ○ Explains the significance of the proposed work and how this work will advance the discipline  □ All proposals:  ○ Describes how the project aligns with the Regis University mission  Purpose and Specific Aims:	4/4 Criteria met 20 pts	a 3/4 Criteria met 10 pts	2/4 Criteri met 5 pts	a <2/4 Criteria met 0 pts	/25
□ The specific aims of the proposed work are clearly stated and comprehensible to a panel of multi-disciplinary reviewers □ The research/scholarship/body of work objectives are reasonable and attainable given the project period and requested support □ Accomplishing the stated research/scholarship/creativity objectives will be a significant achievement or represent a meaningful advance given the project period and requested support	3/3 Criteria met 15 pts	2/3 Criteria met 10 pts	1/3 Criteri met 5 pts	a 0/3 Criteria met 0 pts	/15
Methods or Process:  □ For research proposals:  ○ The research problem/question exhibits relevance, rigor and feasibility  ○ The proposed research methods are appropriate to address research problem/question, are clearly articulated and sufficiently detailed that an informed, non-specialist reader can understand the nature of the work  ○ The application persuades a panel of multi-disciplinary reviewers that the proposed methods are reasonable, appropriate, and likely to result in the useful, applicable and quality contributions to the existing knowledge base.  ○ The analytic plan proposed are sufficiently described and appropriately aligned to collected data.  □ For arts/humanities proposals:  ○ The project exhibits relevance, rigor, and feasibility  ○ The proposed methods or process is clearly articulated and sufficiently detailed that an informed, non-specialist reader can understand the nature of the work.  ○ The proposed creative methods are appropriate to the specific genre/medium  ○ The application persuades a panel of multi-disciplinary reviewers that the proposed methods are reasonable, appropriate, and likely to result in the quality contributions to the existing body of work in the specific genre or medium	4/4 Criteria met 25 pts	a 3/4 Criteria met 16pts	2/4 Criteri met 8 pts	a <2/4 Criteria met 0 pts	/20
Impact Statement: Project has clearly defined impact for the proposer and participants Impact is achievable and high quality Impact will increase the scholarly reputation of the proposer and the institution	3/3 Criteria met 10 pts	2/3 Criteria met 7 pts	1/3 Criteri met 4 pts	a 0/3 Criteria met 0 pts	/10
Work Plan:  ☐ The work plan states realistic milestones for completing the tasks required to achieve quality contributions to the existing body of work in the specific genre, medium or discipline.  ☐ The work plan can be achieved in the funding time frame (i.e. by end of fiscal year—April 15)	2/2 Criteria met 10 pts	1/2 Criteria r 5 pts	met	0/2 Criteria met 0 pts	/10
Budget and Budget Justification:  The proposed expenditures are clearly stated and reasonable The proposed expenditures strongly correlate with the completion of the stated artistic creation or research objectives Each budgetary item is adequately justified, especially in the case of salary requests	3/3 Criteria met 10 pts	2/3 Criteria met 7 pts	1/3 Criteria met 4 pts	0/3 Criteria met 0 pts	/10
Prior URSC Funding Status:	Never received funding 10 pts	Received last 2 ye different ques 5 p	ears for research stion	Received funding in last 2 years for same research question 0 pts	/10